

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

Mable Lambert,

Plaintiff,

v.

Equifax Information Services, LLC  
and Rocket Mortgage, LLC,

Defendants.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil Action File No.:

**COMPLAINT**  
**WITH JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

---

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. The United States Congress has found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S. Code § 1681, *et seq.* (the “FCRA”) to ensure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy.

2. Under the FCRA, consumer reporting agencies are charged with two primary duties: the duty to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum

possible accuracy of information when preparing consumer reports; and the duty to reasonably reinvestigate consumers' disputes of inaccurate information, and then appropriately correct or modify the disputed information. A consumer reporting agency's duty to reasonably reinvestigate consumers' disputes of inaccurate information explicitly includes the duty to notify the furnisher of the disputed information. This is because the furnisher of the disputed information stands in a better position to make a thorough investigation of the disputed information than the credit reporting agency.

3. Under the FCRA, furnishers of information have two similar primary duties: to report complete and accurate information regarding the consumers about whom the furnishers report; and, upon receiving notice of a consumer's dispute from a consumer reporting agency, to conduct an investigation of the disputed information, and then modify, delete, or permanently block the reporting of that information as appropriate.

4. Defendants compile, maintain, and report information concerning Plaintiff's credit-worthiness, credit-standing, credit capacity, character, and general reputation. That information is then made available for use by third-parties in credit transactions involving Plaintiff, for employment purposes, the underwriting of

insurance for Plaintiff, and even in connection with a determination of Plaintiff's eligibility for a license or other governmental benefit. Accordingly, and pursuant to various provisions of the FCRA, Plaintiff has a legally protected interest in Defendants fulfilling their respective duties under the FCRA, so that the information reported and maintained by Defendants is done so in a manner which is fair and equitable to Plaintiff, with regards to the confidentiality, accuracy, and relevancy of that information.

5. This action for damages is based on Defendants' false reporting on Plaintiff's credit files and/or consumer reports, failures to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning Plaintiff, and failures to conduct reasonable investigations and reinvestigations with respect to disputes of such information.

### **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff, Mable Lambert, is a natural person who resides in Newton County, Georgia.

7. Plaintiff is an individual and is, therefore, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

8. Defendant, Equifax Information Services, LLC (hereinafter “Equifax”), is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Georgia and registered to do business in the State of Georgia. Equifax may be served with process via its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, at 2 Sun Court, Suite 400, Peachtree Corners, GA 30092.

9. Equifax regularly assembles and/or evaluates consumer credit information for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties and uses interstate commerce to prepare and/or furnish the reports. Accordingly, Equifax is a “consumer reporting agency” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

10. Defendant, Rocket Mortgage, LLC (hereinafter “Rocket Mortgage”) is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Michigan and registered to do business in the State of Georgia. Rocket Mortgage may be served with process via its registered agent, C T Corporation System at 289 S Culver St, Lawrenceville, GA, 30046-4805.

11. Rocket Mortgage regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about consumer transactions, such as Plaintiff’s transactions at issue in this lawsuit and described herein, and is, therefore, a “furnisher” as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over Plaintiff's Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.*, claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-10-91(1) because, *inter alia*, Defendants frequently and routinely conduct business in the State of Georgia, including the conduct complained of herein.

14. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, venue is proper in the Northern District of Georgia because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

15. Pursuant to LR 3.1B(3), venue is proper in the Atlanta Division because one or more Defendants maintain agents for service of process within the Atlanta Division.

## **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

### **Plaintiff's Mortgage**

16. On or about September 24, 2018, Plaintiff obtained a residential home loan from Quicken Loans, Inc. for the original principal amount of \$137,373.00 (the “Mortgage”).

17. The Mortgage is collateralized by residential real property located at 100 Stone Common Way, Covington, GA 30016, as evidenced by the Security Deed recorded at Deed Book 3754, Page 101, in the Superior Court of Newton County.

18. On or about September 9, 2020, the Mortgage was transferred from Quicken Loans, Inc. to Quicken Loans, LLC, as evidenced by the Assignment recorded at Deed Book 4066, Page 267 in the Superior Court of Newton County.

**Plaintiff’s Bankruptcy Case**

19. On August 13, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Chapter 13 Voluntary Bankruptcy Petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Case Number 20-68940 (the “Bankruptcy Case”).

20. In Schedule D of her Bankruptcy Petition, Plaintiff listed Quicken Loans as a secured creditor with a claim for the Mortgage in the amount of \$134,343.00 (the “Debt”).

21. On October 19, 2020, Plaintiff filed her Chapter 13 Plan in accordance with 11 U.S.C. § 1322, providing for the cure of any then-existing deficiency and the direct payment of all future Mortgage payments by Plaintiff to Quicken Loans.

22. As the Mortgage is secured only by a security interest in real property that is Plaintiff's principal residence, Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Plan cannot and will not discharge the Mortgage, and thus left Quicken Loans's rights otherwise unaffected.

23. Plaintiff's Plan provided, in part, that Quicken Loans's allowed claim would be paid through the Chapter 13 Trustee and that no payments would be forthcoming from any other source.

24. On September 25, 2020, Quicken Loans filed a Proof of Claim in Plaintiff's Bankruptcy Case, claim number 3, representing it was owed \$131,131.99.

25. Quicken Loans did not object to Plaintiff's Plan.

26. On October 20, 2020, Plaintiff's Plan was confirmed.

27. Quicken Loans was served with a copy of the Order Confirming Plan on October 23, 2020, by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.

28. The Bankruptcy Case is currently pending and Plaintiff continues to substantially perform under the terms of her Confirmed Plan.

29. The Mortgage has not been discharged and is not subject to discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(b)(2) and 1328(a)(1). See *Dukes v. Suncoast Credit Union (In re Dukes)*, 909 F.3d 1306 (11th Cir. 2018).

30. As recently as March 3, 2023, Quicken Loans advised the Bankruptcy Court and Plaintiff that Plaintiff's Mortgage was being serviced by Quicken Loans and that Plaintiff's obligation is ongoing.

31. Accordingly, Plaintiff has continued to make her post-filing Mortgage payments to Quicken Loans, and Quicken Loans has continued to service Plaintiff's Mortgage and accept her post-filing Mortgage payments.

32. In 2021, Quicken Loans rebranded itself as Rocket Mortgage, LLC.

**Effect of Consumer Reports Which Contain  
Inaccurate or Misleading Information**

33. Under the FCRA, the term "consumer report" generally refers to:

any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for:

- i. credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes;



- ii. employment purposes; or
- iii. any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title.

15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

34. The information contained in a consumer report bears on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, and personal characteristics.

35. The information contained in a consumer report can have a tremendous effect on the consumer; to name only a few, the report can impact the consumer's:

- a. Eligibility for and terms for credit;
- b. Potential for refinancing of existing credit;
- c. Eligibility for leasing prospects;
- d. Eligibility for utility services;
- e. Eligibility for and the terms of insurance;
- f. Employment or potential employment;
- g. Accounts which are under collection or review;
- h. Eligibility for a license or other benefit granted by a governmental instrumentality, particularly where the

instrumentality is required by law to consider an applicant's financial responsibility or status;

- i. Standing with potential investors or servicers; and
- j. Eligibility for individually-billed travel charge cards used by executive departments and agencies.

36. The terms “consumer report”, “credit report”, and “consumer credit report” are used synonymously herein.

37. Approximately two million consumer reports are issued by credit bureaus each day. See, Robert B. Avery, Paul S. Calem, and Glenn B. Canner, Federal Reserve Board, Division of Research and Statistics, and Raphael W. Bostic, University of Southern California, *An Overview of Consumer Data and Credit Reporting* (February 2003), p. 48-49, available at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/bulletin/2003/0203lead.pdf>, archived at <https://perma.cc/DCY4-ZS6C> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

38. In 2012, the Federal Trade Commission conducted a study regarding consumer credit reporting errors and determined that anywhere from 10 to 21 percent of consumers have confirmed errors on their consumer reports. Federal Trade Commission, *Report to Congress under Section 319 of the Fair and Accurate Credit*

*Transactions Act of 2003* (December 2012), p. iv of Executive Summary, available at <https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/section-319-fair-and-accurate-credit-transactions-act-2003-fifth-interim-federal-trade-commission/130211factareport.pdf>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/R3P4-FGV9> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

39. The FTC study found that not only do these errors adversely affect consumers' credit scores, but the estimated proportion of reports and consumers who experience a positive credit score change resulting from the *correction* of these errors is higher than previous estimates from the credit reporting industry. *Id.*

#### Credit Scoring

40. The Fair Isaac Corporation credit risk scoring system, commonly referred to as "FICO", is the leading credit scoring system and utilizes data reported by credit reporting agencies. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/credit-scores/> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

41. The Fair Isaac Corporation uses the data in consumer reports to calculate consumers' credit scores (also known as credit risk scores). *Id.*

42. The term "credit score" is a numerical value or a categorization derived from a statistical tool or modeling system used by a person who makes or arranges

a loan to predict the likelihood of certain credit behaviors, including default. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Supervision and Examination Manual, Version 2* (October 2012), p. 53, available at [http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201210\\_cfpb\\_supervision-and-examination-manual-v2.pdf](http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201210_cfpb_supervision-and-examination-manual-v2.pdf), *archived at* <http://perma.cc/JF32-RFAA>, (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

43. FICO scores are calculated from five main categories of credit data in a consumer's credit report. Those categories, and their weighted values, are as follows: payment history accounts for 35% of a consumer's FICO score; debt/amounts owed accounts for 30% of a consumer's FICO score; age/length of credit history accounts for 15% of a consumer's FICO score; new credit/recent inquiries accounts for 10% of a consumer's FICO score; and mix of accounts/types of credit accounts for 10% of a consumer's FICO score. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/whats-in-your-credit-score/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/E8Y3-F4AA> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

44. Payment history is the most important aspect of a consumer's credit score because it shows how the consumer has managed his finances, including any late payments. Credit history is also very important, as it demonstrates how long the

consumer has been managing his accounts, when his last payments were made, and any recent charges. See, <https://www.transunion.com/credit-score>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/NRZ4-W83U> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

45. The cost of credit (e.g., interest rates, fees, etc.), the availability of credit, ratings for insurance products, and even unsolicited credit offers, such as the opportunity to refinance a mortgage at a lower interest rate, extended financing periods and lower rate auto loans, and even zero-percent financing credit offers for in-store credit lines, are all, by and large, driven by a consumer's credit score.

46. Inaccurate or incorrect credit reporting very often results in a lower FICO and other credit scoring model scores, and thus higher costs of credit, diminished opportunity, and less purchasing power for consumers.

47.

48. Incorrectly reporting the tradeline of Plaintiff's Mortgage—which is open, active, and has a balance that Plaintiff is making payments on—with incorrect, outdated payment information and as having a \$0 balance, adversely affects Plaintiff's FICO score, as it excludes any recent positive payment history associated with the Mortgage, it alters the age/length of credit history, and it alters the mix of accounts/types.

49. There is no established rule or threshold for classifying the significance of a credit score change as minor or major because the impact of a change in score is dependent on the current score. That is, a twenty-five-point change in a credit score that keeps the consumer in a particular credit risk category may not have a large impact on the person's likelihood of receiving credit. However, a one-point change in credit score that moves the consumer from one risk tier to the next may have a large impact on the consumer's access to credit or the products and rates the consumer is able to secure.

50. Consistent with FTC study, the Fair Isaac Corporation states that inaccurate or incorrect information on a consumer's credit report can hurt their score. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/questions/fix-errors-on-credit-report/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/9TQN-S5WP> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

#### *Credit-Based Insurance Scoring*

51. Other entities that regularly review consumer reports, and use the data contained therein, are insurance companies.

52. Insurance companies use a scoring mechanism which is similar to, but distinct from, the "credit score" used by creditors.

53. Credit-based insurance scores, like credit scores themselves, are numerical summaries of consumers' credit histories; credit-based insurance scores are typically calculated using a multitude of information, including, but not limited to, the length and age of credit history and the use of certain types of credit. Federal Trade Commission, *Credit-Based Insurance Scores: Impacts on Consumers of Automobile Insurance* (July 2007), p. 11, available at [https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/credit-based-insurance-scores-impacts-consumers-automobile-insurance-report-congress-federal-trade/p044804facta\\_report\\_credit-based\\_insurance\\_scores.pdf](https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/credit-based-insurance-scores-impacts-consumers-automobile-insurance-report-congress-federal-trade/p044804facta_report_credit-based_insurance_scores.pdf), *archived at* <https://perma.cc/B2VQ-452N> (last accessed December 12, 2022). As cited in *Ins. Inst. V. Commissioner*, 486 Mich. 370, 785 N.W.2d 67 (2010).

54. Credit-based insurance scores evolved from traditional credit scores, and all major automobile insurance companies use credit-based insurance scores in some capacity; insurers use these scores to assign consumers to risk pools and to determine the premiums that they pay. *Id.* at 22.

55. A Wallethub study determined that a change in credit scores caused a consumer's automobile insurance rates to rise by an average of 67% nationwide, and an average of 84% in Georgia. *2018's States Where Credit Scores Affect Car*

*Insurance the Most – Credit Score & Car Insurance Report*, available at <https://wallethub.com/edu/car-insurance-by-credit-score-report/4343/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/CSL8-D47Y> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

56. Homeowner’s insurance companies also use credit scores to decide whether to issue policies, and on what terms. A higher credit score is taken to mean that a consumer is less of a risk, which, in turn, means the consumer is more likely to be able to obtain insurance, and pay less for it.

See <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0152-credit-scores>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/EB3D-54UP> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

57. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) is the U.S. standard-setting and regulatory support organization created and governed by the chief insurance regulators from the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and five U.S. territories. See, [http://www.naic.org/index\\_about.htm](http://www.naic.org/index_about.htm) (last accessed December 12, 2022).

58. The NAIC advises consumers who find errors on their credit reports to contact the credit reporting company to have the errors corrected, as the errors can affect the consumer’s credit-based insurance score. National Association of



Insurance Commissioners, *Credit-Based Insurance Scores: How an Insurance Company Can Use Your Credit to Determine Your Premium*, available at [http://www.naic.org/documents/consumer\\_alert\\_credit\\_based\\_insurance\\_scores.htm](http://www.naic.org/documents/consumer_alert_credit_based_insurance_scores.htm), archived at <https://perma.cc/S4F2-9VTL> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

59. There are several different companies that create credit-based insurance score reports for insurers to use, including the Fair Isaac Corporation. In calculating credit-based insurance scores, FICO looks at five general areas it believes will best determine how an individual manage risks. *Id.*

60. The following is a breakdown of what FICO considers in calculating credit-based insurance scores, and how much the information generally weighs in that calculation: payment history accounts for 40% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; debt/amounts owed accounts for 30% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; age/length of credit history accounts for 15% of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; new credit/recent inquiries accounts for 10% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; and, mix of accounts/types of credit accounts for 5% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score. *Id.*

61. Incorrectly reporting the tradeline of Plaintiff's Mortgage—which is open, active, and has a balance that Plaintiff is making payments on—with incorrect, outdated payment information and as having a \$0 balance, adversely affects Plaintiff's FICO credit-based insurance scores, as it excludes any recent positive payment history associated with the Mortgage, it alters the age/length of credit history, and it alters the mix of accounts/types.

### **The CDIA Metro 2 Credit Reporting Standards**

#### **The CDIA has Imposed Rigorous Industry Standards to Ensure FCRA Compliance**

62. The reporting of consumer credit information, by credit reporting agencies ("CRAs") and data furnishers, is the foundation of credit risk scoring and impacts the financial lives of consumers in innumerable ways, including the availability and cost of credit, housing opportunities, leasing prospects, insurance availability and cost, utility service, and even employment. Between two and three million consumer reports are issued by credit bureaus each day. See, <http://www.cdiaonline.org/about.cfm> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

63. The Consumer Data Industry Association ("CDIA") is an international trade association, representing over 140 members involved in credit reporting, mortgage reporting, check verification, tenant and employment screening, collection

services, and fraud verification services, and the CDIA is active in both federal and state legislative affairs, public relations, education, and the promulgation of industry standards.

64. Because consumer credit reporting information is such sensitive data that has far reaching implications for most, if not all, consumers, the CDIA works together with CRAs to develop, maintain and enhance industry-standard reporting formats and guidelines.

65. To further assist CRAs and data furnishers with performing their due diligence and reporting accurate, complete, and timely data, in satisfaction of the FCRA's legal requirements, the CDIA offers extensive training, education, and support to CRAs and data furnishers.

66. The CDIA's extensive training and support offerings include FCRA certification programs for both CRAs and data furnishers, to assist each in maintaining compliance with FCRA regulations.

67. Because standardized methods are of paramount importance to the accurate, complete and timely reporting of consumer credit data, the CDIA can and will revoke FCRA certification for failure to adhere to the standards set by the CDIA.

68. In cooperation with the major CRAs, CDIA publishes the Metro 2 (“Metro 2”) reporting standards to assist furnishers with their compliance requirements under the FCRA. CDIA’s reporting products are used in more than nine billion transactions each year.

See, <http://www.cdiaonline.org/about/index.cfm?unItemNumber=515> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

69. The Metro 2 Format Task Force is comprised of representatives from Equifax, Experian, Innovis, and TransUnion, and is supported by the CDIA. Metro 2 Format Task Force’s mission is to provide a standardized method for the reporting of accurate, complete and timely data, and has developed the Metro 2 standards. *Id.*

70. In order to ensure compliance with the FCRA, and in furtherance of its mission, the Metro 2 Format Task Force has developed an industry standard (the “Metro2 standard”) for reporting consumer accounts that “will ensure the integrity and consistency of the credit information being reported.”

71. In the credit reporting industry, Metro 2 is widely known as the well-established industry standard, uniformly adopted by furnishers and CRAs alike.

72. As such, viewers/users of consumer reports both expect and rely on the information contained in consumer reports to be reported in compliance with the Metro 2 standards.

73. A deviation from the Metro 2 standards results in a reported item being incomplete and/or misleading to viewers/users of consumer reports.

74. In fact, the existence of an “industry standard” itself—one which is uniformly adopted and contractually enforced—compounds errors because it gives more credibility to false reporting.

75. Without the existence of Metro 2, a viewer/user of consumer reports *might* presume that the furnisher or CRA simply made a mistake with respect to erroneous, negative credit reporting.

76. However, since viewers/users of consumer reports know that Metro 2 has layers of rules and checks to ensure accuracy, they are more likely to “blame” the consumer for the false, negative credit reporting.

77. Thus, a deviation from the Metro 2 standards results in adverse consequences for the consumer.

78. 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) requires consumer reporting agencies to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of information

concerning the individual about whom a report relates. Similarly, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1) requires consumer reporting agencies to conduct reasonable reinvestigations of a consumer's dispute of the completeness or accuracy of any item of information contained in the consumer's file.

79. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(2) requires furnishers of information to regularly correct and update the information they previously provided to consumer reporting agencies, to make sure the information is complete and accurate. Similarly, upon receiving notice from a consumer reporting agency of a consumer's dispute, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1) requires furnishers of information to conduct reasonable investigations of a consumer's dispute of the completeness or accuracy of any information provided by the furnisher of information to a consumer reporting agency.

80. The uniform adoption and implementation of the Metro 2 standards is the primary vehicle by which CRAs and furnishers ensure that they are in compliance with their respective duties to ensure that they maintain complete and accurate information under the FCRA.

81. The Metro 2 standards provide uniformity in the reporting and interpretation of credit data, including credit risk scoring.

82. The Metro 2 standards are documented in the Credit Reporting Resource Guide (“CRRG”), an industry-standard publication produced and distributed by the CDIA.

83. As an integral aspect of its duties under the FCRA, Equifax is required to have in place adequate and reasonable policies and procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of information concerning individuals about whom Equifax produces reports; the requirement to maintain reasonable procedures extends to Equifax’s handling and reinvestigation of disputed information.

84. At all times relevant hereto, Equifax adopted and implemented the Metro 2 format as a means of fulfilling its aforementioned duties under the FCRA.

85. At all times relevant hereto, Equifax has required all entities to whom it grants consumer information reporting rights and access to adhere to the Metro 2 reporting guidelines as a condition of such ability and access.

86. As an integral aspect of its duties under the FCRA, Rocket Mortgage is required to have in place adequate and reasonable policies and procedures for handling and investigation of disputed information.

87. At all times relevant hereto, Rocket Mortgage adopted and implemented the Metro 2 format as a means of fulfilling its aforementioned duties under the FCRA.

88. Furthermore, at all times relevant hereto, Rocket Mortgage incorporated, warranted, and or represented to all CRAs to which it reported that it had adopted and implemented the Metro 2 format for its reporting of consumer data, and would otherwise comply with Metro 2 and CDIA guidelines in its reporting of consumer information.

*The National CRAs and the Furnishers of Consumer Information Communicate Metro 2 Compliant Notices of Consumer Disputes and Responses, Respectively, Through the e-Oscar Reporting Platform*

89. The FCRA requires CRAs to implement an automated reinvestigation system through which furnishers of information to the CRA may report the results of a reinvestigation that finds incomplete or inaccurate information in a consumer's file. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(D).

90. To comply with the automated dispute reinvestigation requirements of the FCRA, Trans Union, Equifax, and Experian (the three major "National CRAs"), along with Innovis Data Solutions, Inc., developed and implemented a browser-based software system that allows the CRAs to electronically notify furnishers



quickly and easily of disputed credit reporting information, and for furnishers to quickly and easily respond to such disputes following the furnisher's investigation of the disputed information.

91. The system is commonly referred to as e-OSCAR (Online Solution for Complete and Accurate Reporting) and was designed to be Metro 2 compliant. See <http://www.e-oscar.org/> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

92. The e-OSCAR system primarily supports Automated Credit Dispute Verification ("ACDV") and Automated Universal Data Form ("AUD") processing, as well as other consumer-dispute-related processes. *Id.*

93. The National CRAs, provide notice of a consumer's dispute to data furnishers in the ACDV format, and forward the ACDV to the furnisher through e-OSCAR.

94. If a furnisher's investigation of a consumer's dispute determines that the information in dispute is incomplete or inaccurate, the FCRA requires the furnisher to correct the information not only with the CRA that sent the ACDV, but with all other CRAs to whom the furnisher reported that information. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(D).

95. The e-OSCAR system facilitates the furnisher's compliance with 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(D) by sending a "Carbon Copy" of an ACDV response "to each CRA with whom the [furnisher] has a reporting relationship" in addition to the response to the initiating CRA. See <https://www.e-oscar.org/implementation/about-us> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

96. Additionally, a furnisher can manually correct a tradeline with a CRA other than the one that initiated a dispute by sending an AUD within e-OSCAR.

97. Equifax requires data furnishers that report to Equifax to register with and use e-OSCAR, and states that e-OSCAR is "in compliance with FCRA and Metro 2 standards." See, <https://www.transunion.com/data-reporting/support-teams> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

*Viewers of Credit Reports Presume Compliance with the Metro 2 Standards; Departures from the Standards are, Therefore, Inherently Misleading*

98. The CRRG and the Metro 2 guidelines have been uniformly adopted across the credit reporting industry.

99. All entities which contribute to consumer reports have agreed to comply with the Metro 2 guidelines, which are "accepted by all consumer reporting agencies;" likewise, consumer reporting agencies require their furnishers to comply with the Metro2 guidelines as a condition of their agreements. CRRG at 2-1.

100. Given the universal adoption of Metro 2, a creditor or other entity performing risk scoring or other functions using the data provided in a consumer report will view the report in the light of the guidelines, presuming that the information reported is in compliance with industry standards.

101. For example, The FICO scoring system utilizes data reported by CRAs and furnishers which are, ostensibly, in compliance with Metro 2 standards.

102. As Metro 2 format has been adopted as the credit reporting industry standard, the failure on the part of a CRA to adhere to the accepted Metro 2 standards increases the probability of a reported item being false or materially misleading to viewers of consumer reports, as those users reasonably presume that the information in the consumer reports is being reported in compliance with Metro 2 standards, and interpret that information accordingly.

103. Thus, failure to adhere to Metro 2 in consumer credit reporting adversely affects consumers, as it causes inconsistent, misleading, and/or incorrect interpretation of information regarding consumers.

104. Equifax has actual knowledge that entities reviewing consumer credit reports presume that Equifax has complied with the Metro 2 standards.

105. Rocket Mortgage has actual knowledge that entities reviewing consumer credit reports presume that Rocket Mortgage has complied with the Metro 2 standards.

106. Because users of consumer credit reports presume the information in those reports complies with the Metro 2 standards, the failure on the part of a CRA and/or a furnisher to adhere to the accepted Metro 2 standards increases the probability of a reported item being false or materially misleading to users of consumer reports, and thus adversely affecting the consumer.

107. The failure on the part of a CRA and/or a furnisher to adhere to the accepted Metro 2 standards can itself support a finding of willful violation as described by 15 U.S.C. § 1681n when that failure results in a report that is false, incomplete, and misleading.

108. Further, the failure to adhere to the Metro 2 format, and/or the failure to follow the guidance of regulatory and industry sources, such as the CDIA, is evidence of willfulness of an FCRA violation under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a). *See, Gillespie v. Equifax Info. Servs., LLC*, No. 05C138, 2008 WL 4316950, at \*8 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 15, 2008).

*The Metro 2 Guidelines Mandate Regular Monthly Reporting of All Accounts*

109. As part of that industry standard, the Metro 2 Format Task Force has declared, “All accounts must be reported *on a monthly basis*.” [Emphasis added] CRRG at 2-2.

110. Because consumer credit information changes monthly, failure to update that information on a monthly basis, yet still publishing reports containing the previously reported information without updates, means that the information being reported is almost certainly incomplete, inaccurate, and misleading.

*The Significance of the Consumer Information Indicator*

111. Of particular importance in reporting under the Metro2 standards is the Consumer Information Indicator (“CII”), a single-character code which indicates an account’s status in relation to a consumer’s bankruptcy.

112. Furnishers and CRAs are required to update the CII code when a petition for bankruptcy is filed, and again when the bankruptcy is discharged, dismissed, or withdrawn.

113. The reporting of a proper CII code for a consumer’s account ensures that the tradeline for that account accurately discloses that account’s relationship to the bankruptcy case, and can suppress other statements about the account (i.e., “in

collections”, “charged off”) which are inconsistent with its status with respect to the bankruptcy.

114. While furnishers sometimes report derogatory information about an account during and after a bankruptcy, the reporting of an accurate CII code ensures that if such derogatory information is reported, it is either withheld from viewers of the report, or placed in its proper context relative to the consumer’s bankruptcy.

115. This is especially important, as not all accounts are included in and/or dischargeable by consumer bankruptcy.

116. The failure to report an accurate CII code for an account which was discharged in bankruptcy, or is included in an active bankruptcy, can lead to a consumer’s report containing derogatory information which would otherwise not be visible to viewers of the report, and render other portions of the report misleading, since a viewer would not be aware that the account is included in bankruptcy.

117. Similarly, where an account which *is not* discharged or dischargeable in bankruptcy is reported with an incorrect CII indicator, the consumer’s report can falsely indicate that the account is subject to bankruptcy or discharge, and suppress the consumer’s positive history of making payments toward the account.

118. These false impressions become even greater in the light of the universally adopted Metro 2 standards, which require that an account within, or discharged by, a consumer bankruptcy be identified as such.

119. Since the broadly adopted industry standard requires disclosure of a pending bankruptcy, the absence of such a disclosure inherently misleads a viewer into believing that the account is not included in bankruptcy.

*Reporting of Accounts with an Active, Confirmed  
Chapter 13 Bankruptcy, under Metro 2*

120. Upon confirmation of a Chapter 13 plan, Metro 2 requires furnishers and CRAs to report an account included in the debtor's bankruptcy as follows:

- a. A **Consumer Information Indicator** ("CII") of "D" (or blank to maintain a "D" which was previously reported), indicating that the account is subject to a petition for Chapter 13 bankruptcy;
- b. An **Account Status Code** reflecting the status at time of the petition;
- c. A **Payment History** of "D" (indicating "no history available") for each month since the filing of the bankruptcy, plus actual history for prior months;
- d. An **Amount Past Due** of zero;

- e. A **Current Balance** reflecting the current balance as provided for under the terms of the Chapter 13 plan, rather than the original contract;
- f. **Terms Duration & Terms Frequency** which incorporate any changes the contract terms provided for under the Chapter 13 plan;
- g. The **Scheduled Monthly Payment Amount** reflecting the scheduled payment amount under the Chapter 13 plan, rather than under the original contract; and
- h. A **Date of Account** Information of the current month's date (increasing with each month's reporting).

CRRG, FAQ 28(a)-(b), at 6-21 to 6-24.

**Plaintiff's Equifax Consumer Report**

121. On or about April 2, 2021, Plaintiff obtained a copy of her consumer report as published by Equifax.

122. That report contained erroneous information as provided by Rocket Mortgage, and as published and reported by Equifax.



123. The relevant portion of the Rocket Mortgage tradeline appeared in the April 2, 2021, Equifax report as follows:

Terms Frequency	MONTHLY	Term Duration	0
Balance		Date Opened	Sep 24, 2018
Amount Past Due		Date Reported	Mar 03, 2021
Actual Payment Amount		Date of Last Payment	Mar 01, 2021
Date of Last Activity		Scheduled Payment Amount	
Months Reviewed	29	Delinquency First Reported	Aug 01, 2020
Activity Designator		Creditor Classification	UNKNOWN
Deferred Payment Start Date		Charge Off Amount	
Balloon Payment Date		Balloon Payment Amount	
Loan Type	US Department of Agriculture Real Estate Mortgage Loan		Date Closed
Date of First Delinquency	Aug 01, 2020		

(Remaining portion of tradeline omitted.)

124. Because the Mortgage has not been discharged in Plaintiff's Bankruptcy Case (as the Bankruptcy Case has not been discharged at all) and the Mortgage is not subject to discharge, and Plaintiff continues to make payments to Rocket Mortgage on the Mortgage, the information described above was both false and misleading in a number of key respects (the "Inaccurate Reporting"), including, *inter alia*:

- a. The Mortgage's balance is reported as \$0, rather than the actual current balance of the account (which, in conjunction with the

notation of bankruptcy, creates the false impression that the account was discharged in bankruptcy) and

- b. The date of last payment fails to reflect payments made by Plaintiff during the course of the Bankruptcy Case; and
- c. The tradeline was not reported in compliance with CDIA / Metro 2 standards.

125. The Inaccurate Reporting was in derogation of accepted industry standards for reporting the Mortgage as set forth by the CDIA and Metro 2 and as adopted by Defendants, including, *inter alia*:

- a. The Balance is not reported, rather than the current balance as provided for under the Chapter 13 plan, as required by Metro 2; and
- b. The Scheduled Monthly Payment is not reported, rather than the monthly payment provided for under the Plan, as required by Metro 2.

126. In a letter dated June 9, 2021, Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate and misleading information directly to Equifax and advised Equifax that her mortgage

was not closed and the balance was not \$0. The relevant portion of Plaintiff's dispute is reproduced below:

My mortgage with Quicken Loans, Inc., 1050 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226, account number: xxxxxxxx5151, is reporting incorrectly. My mortgage is included in my bankruptcy (case number 20-68940, filed August 13, 2020) and is provided for by my bankruptcy plan, but it is a long-term debt that is not subject to discharge. Further, my mortgage cannot have been discharged, as my bankruptcy is ongoing, and thus none of my debts have been discharged.

I am disputing the following incorrect information that is being reported in the tradeline for my mortgage with Quicken Loans, Inc.: my mortgage is not closed, and the balance is not \$0.00. I am including copies of documents filed in my bankruptcy that show that the account is not closed. Please contact Quicken Loans, Inc. to confirm this information and update this tradeline. Please forward the enclosed documents to assist Quicken Loans, Inc. with its review.

127. The dispute letter provided Defendants with sufficient information to identify and correct the inaccurate reporting.

128. In support of Plaintiff's dispute, and to assist Defendants' respective investigations, Plaintiff included with her dispute the following documents: a copy of Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Plan showing the Mortgage as long-term debt and thus exempt from discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 1328(a)(1); a copy of the Order of Discharge exempting debts such as that held by Rocket Mortgage from discharge; a recent payoff statement showing the continuation of Plaintiff's obligation; a copy of the Proof of Claim filed by Rocket Mortgage.

129. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i, Equifax had a duty to notify Rocket Mortgage of Plaintiff's dispute within five business days of receiving the dispute, to

forward the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute for Rocket Mortgage's review, to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the disputed information, and to correct the tradeline or delete it from Plaintiff's consumer file.

130. Plaintiff did not receive a response from Equifax to her dispute letter.

131. Plaintiff resubmitted her dispute on August 2, 2021.

132. Plaintiff did not receive a response from Equifax to her second dispute letter.

133. Plaintiff resubmitted her dispute again on December 1, 2021.

134. Upon information and belief, Equifax timely notified Rocket Mortgage of Plaintiff's dispute, via e-OSCAR or otherwise, and provided the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute.

135. Alternatively, Equifax failed to notify Rocket Mortgage of Plaintiff's dispute, and/or failed to provide the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute.

136. Upon information and belief, Rocket Mortgage received timely notice of Plaintiff's dispute from Equifax.

137. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Rocket Mortgage had a duty to conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, and to modify or delete that information appropriately.

138. Equifax advised Plaintiff it either made changes to Plaintiff's credit report based upon information provided by Plaintiff, or it contacted the company reporting the information to Equifax requesting that it verify the accuracy of the information provided by Plaintiff.

139. Equifax also advised Plaintiff that it provided the furnisher with "any relevant information and supporting documentation you provided us with the dispute to consider as part of the investigation".

140. Equifax provided a copy of the tradeline as reported "post-investigation" which reproduced the errors identified by Plaintiff in her original dispute letter.

141. Specifically, the Rocket Mortgage tradeline appeared in the revised February 7, 2022 Equifax report as follows:

ROCKET MORTGAGE, LLC 1050 WOODWARD AVE DETROIT MI 482261906 : 8005080944												
Account Number	Date Opened		High Credit	Credit Limit	Terms Duration	Terms Frequency	Months Revd	Activity Designator	Creditor Classification			
867341169*	09/24/2018					Monthly	01					
Date of Last Reported Update	Balance Amount	Amount Past Due	Date of Last Payment	Actual Payment Amount	Scheduled Payment Amount	Date of 1st Delinquency	Date of Last Activity	Date Maj Del. 1st Reported	Charge Off Amount	Deferred Pay Start Date	Balloon Pay Amount	Balloon Pay Date
01/03/2022			12/2021			08/2020		08/2020				
Status - Included In Wage Earner Plan; Type of Loan - USDA Real Estate Mortgage Loan; Whose Account - Individual Account; ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - Bankruptcy Chapter 13; Real Estate Mortgage; Bankruptcy Petition; Fixed Rate;												

142. Plaintiff again accessed her Equifax report on April 3, 2023, and the Equifax report contained the same errors which Plaintiff had previously disputed.

Mar 3, 2023	<b>\$0.00</b> <i>in good standing</i>
<b>Overview</b>	
You have 0% left to pay on this loan.	
<b>Balance:</b>	<b>Highest balance:</b>
<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>Account details</b>	
Account Number	8673411693131
Account Status	Open
Open Date	Sep 24, 2018
Last Activity	Aug 3, 2020
Type	Farmers Home Administration
Responsibility	Individual
Remarks	Bankruptcy Chapter 13
Times 30/60/90 Days Late	0/0/0
Months Reviewed	13
Terms Count	0
Term Source Type	
High Balance	
High Credit	
<hr/>	
Monthly Payment	\$0.00
Current Payment Status	Bankruptcy Or Wage Earner Plan
Amount Past Due	

143. Defendants' post-investigation reporting is, independently and jointly, false and misleading.

144. Defendants' post-investigation reporting is in derogation of the Metro 2 reporting standards, and that departure and failure to adhere to the adopted guidelines renders the reporting both false and materially misleading, as users of

consumer reports assume Defendants' compliance with Metro 2 standards in reporting consumer information.

145. There is no indication in the tradeline of the "verified" report that Plaintiff has disputed the information reported and published by Defendants, and the failure to note Plaintiff's legitimate dispute of the Rocket Mortgage tradeline renders the reporting materially misleading.

146. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the revised tradeline reflects any information provided by Rocket Mortgage to Equifax in response to Plaintiff's dispute.

### **INJURIES-IN-FACT**

147. Defendants' actions and omissions have caused Plaintiff to lose time attempting to correct the false information on Plaintiff's consumer report(s).

148. The time spent by a person attempting to correct a false credit report constitutes a concrete injury for purposes of an FCRA claim. *Pinson v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, Nat'l Ass'n*, No. 16-17107, 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 33662, at \*5 (11th Cir. Nov. 12, 2019), citing *Pedro v. Equifax, Inc.*, 868 F.3d 1275, 1280 (11th Cir. 2017).

149. Defendants' actions and omissions have resulted in the illegitimate suppression of Plaintiff's FICO credit scores and other credit rating model scores.

150. The adverse effect on Plaintiff's credit scores places Plaintiff at the material risk of being denied credit or receiving less favorable credit terms than she otherwise would.

151. Further, the Courts have regularly held that allegations of lower credit scores, taken as true, are sufficient to allege a concrete injury-in-fact for the purposes of standing under Article III. *Pedro v. Equifax, Inc.*, 868 F.3d 1275 (11th Cir. 2017) (“[H]er credit score dropped 100 points as a result of the challenged conduct. Because Pedro alleged that she suffered an injury in fact, she has standing to pursue her complaint.”); *Diedrich v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, 839 F.3d 583 (7th Cir. 2016) (standing where Plaintiffs alleged that they “have suffered damage to their credit and been forced to pay Ocwen greater payments and a higher interest rate”); *Santangelo v. Comcast Corp.*, 162 F. Supp. 3d 691 (N.D. Ill. 2016) (“a depleted credit score is sufficient to constitute an injury-in-fact for the purposes of establishing Article III standing”); *Binns v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 14-01764, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 132743, 2015 WL 5785693, at \*9 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 30, 2015) (“injuries to plaintiffs’ credit scores and reputations were considered



intangible harms”); *Rothman v. U.S. Bank Nat’l Ass’n*, No. 13-03381, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 141100, 2014 WL 4966907, at \*5 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014) (“Injury to a credit score is sufficient to constitute ‘actual damages’”); *Green v. RentGrow, Inc.*, No. 2:16cv421, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 166229 (“A decrease in credit score may still establish an injury in fact sufficient to confer standing”); *Adams v. Fifth Third Bank*, No. 3:16-CV-00218-TBR, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18932 (W.D. Ky. Feb. 9, 2017) (“Plaintiffs’ allegations of lower credit scores ... are sufficient to allege a concrete injury-in-fact for the purposes of standing under Article III.”); and, *Coulbertson v. Experian Info. Sols., Inc.*, No. 16-cv-05672-RS, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69484 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2017) (“At a minimum, Coulbertson has alleged a sufficient injury-in-fact through her claim that her credit score suffered as a result of the credit report she disputes”).

152. Defendants’ actions and omissions have resulted in the illegitimate suppression of Plaintiff’s credit-based insurance scores.

153. The adverse effect on Plaintiff’s credit-based insurance scores places Plaintiff at the material risk of being denied insurance or receiving less favorable insurance rates and terms than she otherwise would.

154. Defendants' actions and omissions have caused Plaintiff's credit reports to falsely indicate that Plaintiff's Mortgage has a balance of \$0, suggesting that the account was discharged in Plaintiff's Bankruptcy Case, thereby denying Plaintiff the positive effect of the reporting of their Mortgage payments over the course of the Chapter 13.

155. This false impression creates a material risk that Plaintiff would be denied credit, receive less favorable credit treatment than Plaintiff otherwise would, or receive other unfavorable treatment than Plaintiff otherwise would, from any viewer of Plaintiff's Equifax credit report engaged in judgment-based lending.

156. Plaintiff's pending Bankruptcy Case does not preclude Plaintiff from obtaining credit. On the contrary, it is not uncommon for consumers in an active Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case to access the credit markets for the replacement or acquisition of vehicles and the refinancing of debt.<sup>1</sup>

157. In point of fact, the FHA has a program for approving borrowers who are still making payments in a pending/active Chapter 13 Bankruptcy. U.S.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure specifically provide for the method by which consumers in an active bankruptcy obtain approval for obtaining credit. FRBP 4001(c).

Department of Housing and Urban Development, HUD 4155.1, Mortgage Credit Analysis for Mortgage Insurance (March 24, 2011) p. 4-C-13, available at <https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/41551HSGH.PDF>. See also, [https://www.fha.com/fha\\_requirements\\_credit](https://www.fha.com/fha_requirements_credit).

158. Consumers in bankruptcy often have high-interest mortgages due to the financial circumstances that led to bankruptcy in the first place; once the bankruptcy process has provided some stability, they may be eligible for more favorable terms if their credit otherwise supports it.

159. Thus, complete and accurate reporting by CRAs and furnishers is of paramount importance.

160. The existence of consumer reports which inaccurately report Plaintiff's Mortgage, and/or falsely suggest that Plaintiff's Mortgage has been discharged or is subject to discharge, make it inherently more difficult and more expensive for Plaintiff to refinance the Mortgage.

161. For example, for Plaintiff to obtain an FHA loan, the FHA's general credit policy requires lenders to obtain Plaintiff's full credit report—not just Plaintiff's credit scores, and analyze Plaintiff's credit history, liabilities, and debts to determine creditworthiness. U.S. Dep't of Hous. and Urban Dev., *Handbook*

4000.1, *FHA Single Family Housing Policy Handbook*, 250 (December 30, 2016), <https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/40001HSGH.PDF> (January 16, 2018) archived at <https://perma.cc/UE3H-N3BS>.

162. To obtain an FHA refinance of a potential mortgage, the lender must be able to verify Plaintiff's payments for a potential mortgage for the preceding 12 months. *Id.* at 409.

163. In the event this information cannot be obtained via the consumer's credit report, FHA guidelines mandate that the consumer meet this burden through other, more difficult and time-consuming means. *Id.* at 410.

164. Plaintiff's correct payment history would be included in Plaintiff's Equifax credit report if Defendants had conducted appropriate investigations of Plaintiff's disputes.

165. However, because the Mortgage is falsely reported in Plaintiff's Equifax credit report as having a \$0 balance, Plaintiff will be forced to pursue alternative, more time-consuming, and more expensive means of demonstrating her payment history to a potential lender.

166. Because Defendants failed to comply with their duties under the FCRA as detailed herein, Plaintiff will need to obtain and provide verification of the

Mortgage, bank statements, and/or other documents to demonstrate his payment history for the previous 12 months.

167. This requires Plaintiff to expend more time, effort, and money due to Defendants' failures to abide by their obligations under the FCRA.

### **DAMAGES**

#### **Actual Damages**

168. As a result of Defendants' actions and omissions, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages.

169. These damages include out-of-pocket expenses incurred as a result of Defendants' wrongful representations regarding the Mortgage, and Defendants' failures to abide by their obligations under the FCRA.

170. Plaintiff has suffered a decrease in Plaintiff's credit scores as a result of Defendants' wrongful representations regarding the Mortgage, and Defendants' failures to abide by their obligations under the FCRA.

171. Plaintiff has also experienced physical symptoms of aggravation, frustration, and stress including but not limited to headaches and loss of sleep due to the fact that Defendants are thwarting Plaintiff from receiving the Fresh Start guaranteed by bankruptcy process and discharge.

### **Statutory and Punitive Damages**

172. At the time Defendants reported the information at issue in this matter, each Defendant had actual notice that the information it was reporting regarding Plaintiff and the Mortgage was false, deceptive, and misleading.

173. For example, Plaintiff included corroborating documents with her dispute, which was more than sufficient to establish that the disputed information was being reported inaccurately.

174. Defendants would have further reason to doubt the accuracy of reporting Plaintiff's Mortgage in such a way as to indicate the Mortgage was discharged in bankruptcy (as described *supra*), because the *Bankruptcy Case itself* has not yet been discharged (a fact which is itself apparent from the face of Plaintiff's credit reports). Even if the Mortgage account was eligible for discharge (which it is not), it clearly could not have been discharged while the bankruptcy is still ongoing.

175. Finally, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Rocket Mortgage has previously provided Equifax with information containing these same (or substantially similar) errors on a multitude of occasions, thus placing Equifax on notice of Rocket Mortgage's unreliability, and deficiencies in Rocket Mortgage's

systems and procedures, which have repeatedly caused these errors to propagate in data provided by Rocket Mortgage and elude correction upon dispute by consumers.

176. Each Defendant had more than enough information to correct its false, deceptive, and misleading reporting.

177. Despite that, Defendants continued to report the false, deceptive, and misleading information regarding Plaintiff and the Mortgage.

178. Each Defendant failed to correct its false, deceptive, and misleading reporting, and in fact continued to report false, deceptive, and misleading information regarding Plaintiff, as described herein.

179. Accordingly, Defendants' conduct was willful.

180. As a result of Defendants' willful actions and omissions, Plaintiff is eligible to recover actual damages or statutory damages of up to \$1,000, potential punitive damages, costs of this action, and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and/or 1681o.

## **CAUSES OF ACTION**

### **COUNT I**

#### **VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT**

#### **15 U.S.C. §§ 1681e(b) and 1681i** **Equifax Information Services, LLC**

181. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as though fully stated herein.

182. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b), Equifax is responsible for following reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of information whenever it prepares consumer reports about Plaintiff.

183. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), Equifax had an affirmative duty to independently investigate the dispute submitted by Plaintiff.

184. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2), Equifax was required to communicate the specifics of Plaintiff's disputes to Rocket Mortgage, including the forwarding of any documents provided by Plaintiff in support of that dispute.

185. A consumer reporting agency's reasonable reinvestigation must be a good faith effort to ascertain the truth; a reasonable reinvestigation must answer the substance of the consumer's dispute, and may not merely be a *pro forma* record review that simply begs the question.

186. A reasonable reinvestigation clearly requires some degree of careful inquiry, and more than just a superficial inquiry.

187. The reasonableness of a reinvestigation under the FCRA is generally a question of fact for the jury.



188. In order to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation, and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4), Equifax was required to review and consider all relevant information submitted by Plaintiff.

189. Plaintiff's disputes were clear and unambiguous as to the inaccuracies of Equifax's reporting of the Mortgage.

190. Plaintiff provided all the relevant information necessary for Equifax to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation, and correct the inaccuracies in its reporting.

191. Equifax breached its duties as described herein.

192. If Equifax had conducted a reasonable reinvestigation of Plaintiff's disputes, Equifax would have reviewed and considered all of the information Plaintiff submitted in her disputes, and would have easily detected that what was being reported regarding the Mortgage was factually incorrect, inaccurate, and misleading.

193. If Equifax had conducted a reasonable reinvestigation of Plaintiff's disputes, the Rocket Mortgage tradeline on Plaintiff's Equifax consumer report would have been appropriately corrected.

194. Due to Equifax's failures to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of information, and failures to conduct a reasonable

reinvestigation of Plaintiff's disputes, the false and misleading information in Plaintiff's credit file and on Plaintiff's Equifax report was not appropriately modified.

195. Equifax had all the information necessary to correct its reporting. Despite that, Equifax failed to correct the false, disputed information, in the face of clear evidence that its reporting was false and misleading. That failure indicates that Equifax's reinvestigation procedures were not reasonable.

196. The fact that Equifax had all the information necessary to correct its reporting, yet failed to do so in an appropriate manner, further indicates that Equifax recklessly disregarded Plaintiff's disputes and the requirements of the FCRA, amounting to a willful violation of the statute.

197. Upon information and belief, Equifax has prepared consumer reports containing the incomplete and inaccurate information at issue, and has published the incomplete and inaccurate information to third parties.

198. Equifax willfully, or in the alternative negligently, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of information concerning Plaintiff in her consumer reports, in

reckless disregard of the statutory requirements, Plaintiff's disputes, and the publicly recorded Bankruptcy Case filings.

199. Equifax willfully, or in the alternative negligently, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i in multiple ways, including without limitation, by failing to properly notify Rocket Mortgage of Plaintiff's disputes, by failing to provide Rocket Mortgage with all the supporting information/documents included with Plaintiff's disputes, by failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of Plaintiff's disputes, and by failing thereafter to appropriately modify information in Plaintiff's file and on her consumer reports, in reckless disregard of the statutory requirements, Plaintiff's disputes, and the publicly recorded Bankruptcy Case filings.

200. As a result of Equifax's violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681e(b) and 1681i, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as described herein. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to recover actual damages from Equifax pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

201. Equifax's actions and omissions were willful, rendering Equifax liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages and/or statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

202. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Equifax pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

**COUNT II**

**VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT**

**15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)**  
**Rocket Mortgage, LLC**

203. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 203 as though fully stated herein.

204. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a), Rocket Mortgage is responsible for providing accurate information whenever it furnishes information to any consumer reporting agencies.

205. Upon information and belief, Equifax timely notified Rocket Mortgage of Plaintiff's disputes, and provided Rocket Mortgage with all the relevant information that Plaintiff had submitted.

206. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Rocket Mortgage had a duty to investigate Plaintiff's disputes and accurately report its findings to Equifax.

207. A furnisher's investigation must be a good faith effort to ascertain the truth; a reasonable investigation must answer the substance of the consumer's

dispute, and may not merely be a *pro forma* record review that simply begs the question.

208. A reasonable investigation clearly requires some degree of careful inquiry, and more than just a superficial inquiry.

209. The reasonableness of an investigation under the FCRA is generally a question of fact for the jury.

210. In order to conduct a reasonable investigation, and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Rocket Mortgage was required to review and consider all relevant information submitted by Plaintiff to Equifax.

211. Plaintiff's disputes were clear and unambiguous as to the inaccuracies of reporting the Mortgage.

212. Rocket Mortgage breached its duties as described herein.

213. If Rocket Mortgage had conducted a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes, Rocket Mortgage would have reviewed and considered all of the information Plaintiff submitted to Equifax in her disputes, and would have easily detected that what was being reported regarding the Mortgage was factually incorrect, inaccurate, and misleading.

214. If Rocket Mortgage had conducted a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes, the tradeline on Plaintiff's consumer reports would have been corrected accordingly.

215. Due to Rocket Mortgage's failures to provide accurate information, and failures to conduct reasonable investigations of Plaintiff's disputes, the false and misleading information in Plaintiff's credit file and on Plaintiff's reports as described herein was not appropriately modified.

216. Rocket Mortgage had all the information necessary to correct its reporting. Despite that, Rocket Mortgage failed to suitably correct its reporting, in the face of clear evidence that it was false and misleading. That failure indicates that Rocket Mortgage's investigation procedures were not reasonable.

217. The fact that Rocket Mortgage had all the information necessary to correct its reporting, yet failed to appropriately do so, further indicates that Rocket Mortgage recklessly disregarded Plaintiff's disputes and the requirements of the FCRA, amounting to a willful violation of the statute.

218. Rocket Mortgage willfully, or in the alternative negligently, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation upon receiving notice of Plaintiff's disputes from Equifax, by failing to appropriately modify the

disputed information, and/or by failing to appropriately report the results of its investigation, in reckless disregard of the statutory requirements, Plaintiff's disputes, and the publicly recorded Bankruptcy Case filings.

219. As a result of Rocket Mortgage's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as stated herein. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to recover actual damages from Rocket Mortgage under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

220. Rocket Mortgage's actions and omissions were willful, rendering Rocket Mortgage liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages and/or statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

221. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Rocket Mortgage pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

**TRIAL BY JURY**

222. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby requests a trial by jury.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered in her favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for:

- a) Plaintiff's actual damages;

- b) Statutory damages of \$1,000 per violation of the FCRA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
- c) Punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
- d) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and/or 1681o; and
- e) Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of May, 2023.

**BERRY & ASSOCIATES**

/s/ Matthew T. Berry

Matthew T. Berry

Georgia Bar No.: 055663

matt@mattberry.com

Telephone: (404) 235-3334

2751 Buford Highway, Suite 600

Atlanta, GA 30324

/s/ Chris Armor

Christopher N. Armor

Georgia Bar No. 614061

P.O. Box 451328

Atlanta, GA 31145

Phone 470-990-2568

Fax 404-592-6102

chris.armor@armorlaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*



